Remarks

Claims 12-17 and 19 remain pending and under review in the current patent application. Claims 1-11, 18 and 20-31 have been withdrawn as being drawn to a nonelected Group. Claim 15 has been amended and is fully supported by the specification, in particular claims 1-31, as originally filed.

Appl. No.: 10/762,873

Applicants respectfully request that the amendment be entered in its entirety. In view of the amendment and the following remarks, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested. Applicants believe that the application is now in condition for allowance.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Appl. No.: 10/762,873

The Office Action mailed October 14, 2005 rejected claims 12-17 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Baker et al. (US Patent No. 5,441,955). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Office Action states that Baker et al. describes tryptanthrin compounds in combination with an adjuvant or with other antimicrobial agents, such as isoniazid, rifampin, pyrazinamide, ethambutol, rifabutin, streptomycin, and ciprofloxacin. The Office Action goes on to state that Baker et al. fails to disclose a specific combination of a tryptanthrin (compound no. 1001) and an adjuvant, but alleges that it would have been *prima facie* obvious to combine compound no. 1001 with an adjuvant.

Applicants respectfully point out that the current claims are drawn to pharmaceutical compositions comprising a tryptanthrin compound and an <u>antigen</u>. The tryptanthrin compounds of the present invention enhance an immune response to the antigen.

According to § 2142 of the M.P.E.P., the following three criteria <u>must</u> be met to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness: (1) there must be motivation to modify the reference or combine teachings; (2) there must be a reasonable expectation of success; and (3) the reference (or combination thereof) must teach or suggest all the claim <u>limitations</u>. As noted above, Baker et al. does not teach or suggest pharmaceutical compositions comprising a tryptanthrin compound and an antigen. Assuming arguendo that it would have been obvious to combine compound no. 1001 with an adjuvant, Baker et al. still fails to teach or suggest the combination of a tryptanthrin compound with an antigen. Subsequently, a *prima facie* case of obviousness has not been met and the rejection should be withdrawn.

Appl. No.: 10/762,873

Conclusions

In view of the amendment and above remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all rejections and objections have been addressed and have been overcome. Early notice to this effect is solicited. The Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned at the number listed below if the Examiner believes such would be helpful in advancing the application to issuance.

Date: January ____(0, 2006

Agent for Applicants Reg. No. 53,866

Respectfully Submitted,

CHIRON CORPORATION Customer Number 27476 Intellectual Property Dept. 4560 Horton Street Emeryville, CA 94608-2916 Telephone: (510) 923-7319

Facsimile: (510) 655-3542